| Introductio | n |
|-------------|---|
|             |   |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Post-Keynesian Endogenous Business Cycle Models

#### Karsten Kohler

King's College London

karsten.kohler@kcl.ac.uk

9th Post Keynesian Economics Society Summer School, 25/6/2020

| Introduction | Modelling business cycles | Post-Keynesian models | Evidence |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
|              | 000<br>000<br>00000       | 0000                  |          |

# (1) Introduction

Summary

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Why booms and busts?

- capitalist economies are characterised by regular booms and busts
- during busts, many people become unemployed, while machines are idle
- shouldn't an efficient economy always fully employ its productive capacity?
- why is it that capitalist economies undergo these (inefficient) fluctuations?

| nt | ×0. | d | c+   |    |  |
|----|-----|---|------|----|--|
|    | 100 |   | C.L. | ю. |  |
| _  | _   | _ | _    |    |  |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Example: Ups and downs in UK unemployment



Data source: FRED.

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Explanation I: Exogenous shocks



- in this view, fluctuations are driven by extraneous factors, e.g.
  - technological innovation
  - monetary policy
  - wars, environmental factors, natural disasters (COVID-19?)
- the business 'cycle' represents the adjustment of the economy to those shocks
- imperfections in the economy may amplify shocks, but they do not create cycles by themselves
- without shocks, the economy would not fluctuate
- $\rightarrow$  this is the mainstream take on business cycles

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Explanation II: Endogenous cycle mechanisms



- in this view, fluctuations are driven by factors that are endogenous to capitalist economies, e.g.
  - explosive multiplier effects contained by supply constraints (Kaldor)
  - financial fragility (Minsky)
  - distributive conflict (Goodwin)
- the business cycle is a genuine cycle: a regular sequence of booms and busts
- shocks can be a further source of fluctuations
- but even without shocks, the economy would fluctuate
- $\rightarrow$  this is the post-Keynesian take on business cycles

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

### Outline



- 2 Modelling business cycles
  - Type 1: Non-oscillatory adjustment
  - Type 2: Oscillatory adjustment
  - Type 3: Limit cycles
- 3 Post-Keynesian models
  - Kaldor
  - Minsky
- 4 Evidence

#### 5 Summary

| Introduction | Modelling business cycles | Post-Keynesian models | Evidence | Summary |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
|              | 000<br>000<br>00000       | 0000                  |          |         |



|   | ÷     |    |   |   | t 1 |  |  |
|---|-------|----|---|---|-----|--|--|
| 8 | <br>5 | 10 | u | ~ | -   |  |  |

| Modelling | business | cycles |
|-----------|----------|--------|
| 000       |          |        |
| 000       |          |        |
| 00000     |          |        |

Post-Keynesian models 0000 0000 Evidence

Summary

## A simple framework

Two macroeconomic variables  $(y_t)$  and  $(z_t)$  interact with each other over time:

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}, z_{t-1})$$
 (1)

$$z_t = g(y_{t-1}, z_{t-1})$$
 (2)

$$\text{Jacobian matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dy_t}{dy_{t-1}} & \frac{dy_t}{dz_{t-1}} \\ \frac{dz_t}{dy_{t-1}} & \frac{dz_t}{dz_{t-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models 0000 0000 Evidence

Summary

#### Type 1: Exogenous shocks and non-oscillatory adjustment

Suppose (1)-(2) is a linear system:

$$y_t = a_1 y_{t-1} + a_2 z_{t-1} \tag{4}$$

$$z_t = b_1 y_{t-1} + b_2 z_{t-1} \tag{5}$$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Type 1: Shocks and non-oscillatory adjustment

$$J = \left[ egin{array}{cc} a_1 & a_2 \ b_1 & b_2 \end{array} 
ight]$$

- suppose the interaction between  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  is such that  $a_2 \cdot b_1 \ge 0$ 
  - either there is no interaction:  $a_2 \cdot b_1 = 0$
  - or the interaction goes in the same direction:  $z_{t-1}$  pushes up (down)  $y_t$  and  $y_{t-1}$  pushes up (down)  $z_t$  $(a_2, b_1 > 0; a_2, b_1 < 0)$
- what kind of dynamics emerge from this configuration?

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

## Example: Shock to $y_0$ and non-oscillatory adjustment



 $\rightarrow$  no genuine cycles, only fluctuations: 'cycle' driven by exogenous shocks

Modelling business cycles

Summary

# Type 2: Exogenous shocks and oscillatory adjustment

$$J = \left[ egin{array}{cc} a_1 & a_2 \ b_1 & b_2 \end{array} 
ight]$$

- suppose next that the interaction between  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  is  $a_2 \cdot b_1 < 0$
- this interaction has opposite signs:  $y_{t-1}$  drives up  $z_t$ , but  $z_{t-1}$  drags down  $y_t$  (or vice versa) ( $a_2 > 0 \& b_1 < 0$ ;  $a_2 < 0 \& b_1 > 0$ )
- in addition, the interaction needs to be sufficiently strong  $(|a_2b_1| > \frac{(a_1-b_2)^2}{4})$
- what kind of dynamics emerge from this configuration?

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models 0000 0000

Evidence

Summary

#### Example: Shock to $y_0$ and oscillatory adjustment



 $\rightarrow$  genuine cycles that converge to the equilibrium ('damped oscillations'): (almost) endogenous cycle

# Interim discussion

- the nature of fluctuations critically depends on the interaction between the two variables (same or opposite direction?)
- from the perspective of exogenous business cycle theory, oscillations are uninteresting
- exogenous business cycle theory focuses on type-1 fluctuations
- from the perspective of endogenous business cycle theory, oscillations are crucial
- these models thus exhibit interaction mechanisms that yield type-2 fluctuations:  $a_2b_1 < 0$
- however, both types of fluctuations ultimately depend on shocks
- even type-2 cycles are not fully endogenous

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Type 3: Limit cycles

Let's go back to the generic system

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}, z_{t-1})$$
  
 $z_t = g(y_{t-1}, z_{t-1}).$ 

Now suppose at least one of the functions f() and g() is nonlinear and  $\left(\frac{dy_t}{dz_{t-1}}\right)\left(\frac{dz_t}{dy_{t-1}}\right) < 0.$ 

For certain kind of nonlinearities, this yields shock-independent cycles.

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

## Type 3: Limit cycles

Consider the following example:

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}) + a_2 z_{t-1}$$
(7)  
$$z_t = b_1 y_{t-1} + b_2 z_{t-1},$$
(8)

where  $f'(y^*) \in (0,1)$ ,  $f''(y^*) > 0$ ,  $f'''(y^*) << 0$ .

A function that meets these criteria is the logistic function:  $f(y^*) = a_1 \frac{1}{e^{-y^*}}.$ 

|   | •  | t  |    |   |   | ÷  |  | n    |
|---|----|----|----|---|---|----|--|------|
| × | ж) | r, | ×1 | - | ÷ | 14 |  | × 1. |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Logistic function: $\frac{1}{e^{-x}}$





Modelling business cycles ○○○ ○○○ ○○○ Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Type 3: Limit cycles

- we need one more ingredient: *local instability* 
  - suppose the system is explosive near its equilibrium point
  - as it gets pushed away from the unstable equilibrium, it becomes stable again
- this can stem from the S-shaped nonlinearity
- the system is thus in permanent motion:
  - close to the equilibrium, it gets pushed away
  - but the destabilising forces gradually become weaker
  - the second variable will eventually pull it back

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Example: Limit cycle



 $\rightarrow$  shock-independent fluctuations: fully endogenous cycle

|              |    | ÷., |   | -1 |   | _ | 40 |   |  |
|--------------|----|-----|---|----|---|---|----|---|--|
| Introduction | uu | u   | 0 | u  | u | С | u  | 0 |  |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# (3) Post-Keynesian business cycle models: Kaldor

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Summary

# Kaldor (1940): explosive goods market with supply constraints

- What if multiplier-accelerator effects are strong enough to make the economy unstable? Can this lead to cycles?
- an increase in aggregate income stimulates investment, which creates more income through the Keynesian multiplier effect
- if investment is very sensitive to income, this can render the goods market explosive
- but for high levels of income, supply constraints will make investment inelastic with respect to income
- similarly, in a depressed economy, investment may be inelastic due to weak profit opportunities

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# Kaldorian investment function



Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Evidence

Summary

## Kaldor: output-capital stock interaction

- investment translates into a growing capital stock
- a larger capital stock discourages further investment [why?]
- the two interacting variables are thus output (Y<sub>t</sub>) and the capital stock (K<sub>t</sub>)
- there is a cyclical interaction mechanism such that  $\left(\frac{dK_t}{dY_{t-1}}\right) > 0$  and  $\left(\frac{dY_t}{dK_{t-1}}\right) < 0$
- Kaldor's model thus gives rise to type-3 fluctuations: endogenous limit cycles

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Kaldorian limit cycles



| Introduction | Modelling business cycles<br>000<br>0000<br>00000 | Post-Keynesian models<br>○○○○<br>●○○○ | Evidence | Summary |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|
|              |   |                                       |          |         |

# (3) Post-Keynesian business cycle models: Minsky

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Evidence

Summary

## Minsky: stability breeds instability

- during good times, private agents take on debt to finance expenditures
- this might be accompanied by rising asset prices (shares, real estate) that improve collateral values  $\rightarrow$  local instability
- the economy gradually builds up more debt
- rising debt burdens eventually discourage spending
- agents begin to deleverage to reduce debt
- this creates a downward trajectory as income and asset prices fall

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

## Minsky: output-debt interactions

- the two interacting variables are output (Y<sub>t</sub>) and private debt
   (D<sub>t</sub>)
- there is a cyclical interaction mechanism such that  $\left(\frac{dD_t}{dY_{t-1}}\right) > 0$  and  $\left(\frac{dY_t}{dD_{t-1}}\right) < 0$
- together with local instability, this can produce endogenous limit cycles

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Minskyan business & financial cycles



----- D(t)

| i  | ÷., |   | 4 | - |  |   |
|----|-----|---|---|---|--|---|
| IU | LI  | 0 |   |   |  | п |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

# (4) Empirical evidence for endogenous cycles

Summary

#### Can the existence of endogenous cycles be proven?

- the short answer is no
- but we can check whether it's consistent with the data
- a common argument against endogenous cycles is that many macroeconomic time series are very irregular
- but if we combine an endogenous cycle model with (autocorrelated) shocks, we also get fairly random series
- let's compare this with some de-trended series for the UK

Modelling business cycles

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Stochastic limit cycle



This is the same system as above, but with AR(1) error terms  $u_t$  added to each equation:  $u_t = 0.8u_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ , where  $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, 1)$ .

|  | ÷ |    |   |   |   |    |    |   |   |  |
|--|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|--|
|  | ι | I. | U | u | c | L. | IJ | υ | U |  |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### UK GDP and corporate debt, cyclical components





$$x_{t+8} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + \beta_2 x_{t-1} + \beta_3 x_{t-2} + \beta_4 x_{t-3} + \nu_{t+8}$$
 (see Hamilton 2018, Rev Ec & Stat).

## Finding periodic cycles in the data

- if GDP and corporate debt were driven by a Minskyan endogenous cycle mechanism + shocks, we would expect to find *some* regularity in the data
- a time series tool that allows to detect periodic cycles are spectral density functions (SDFs)
- an SDF shows how much of the variance in a time series is due to periodic frequencies
- peaks in a SDF suggest there is a dominant periodic cycle
- by contrast, if the SDF has no peak, fluctuations are irregular

|  | ÷ |    |   |    | ÷ |    |  |  |
|--|---|----|---|----|---|----|--|--|
|  | L | н. | u | Ċ, | u | я, |  |  |

Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Stochastic limit cycle vs stochastic fluctuations



- first simulated series has cycle mechanism  $a_2b_1 < 0$ , second doesn't
- Can the SDF detect the difference?

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### Limit cycle vs stochastic fluctuations: SDFs



Note: Parametrically estimated spectral density functions from ARMA model.

- It can!
- How does it look with real data for GDP and corporate debt?

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### SDFs of UK GDP and corporate debt



 $\blacksquare$  GDP and corporate debt exhibit regular cycles of 9 1/2 and 11 1/2 years length

this is consistent with endogenous cycles

| Introduction | Modelling business cycles | Post-Keynesian models | Evidence | Summary |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
|              | 000<br>000<br>00000       | 0000<br>0000          |          |         |

# (5) Summary

| ÷ |   |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | ÷ |    |   |   |   |   | ÷ |   |  |
| 5 | ۲ | ۰. | 9 | u | u | ~ | ۲ | 9 |  |

# Summary

- post-Keynesian theories highlight the endogenous nature of boom-bust cycles
- cycles are driven by interaction mechanisms where variables act upon each other in opposite directions
- combined with nonlinearities, this can create cycles that are independent of shocks
- Kaldorian approaches suggest cyclical interactions between output and capital
- Minskyan approaches consider interactions between output and private debt
- this contrasts with mainstream theories, in which fluctuations are due to exogenous shocks

| Modelling business cycles | Post-Keynesian models                     | Evidence   | Summary  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 000                       | 0000                                      |  |  |  |
|                           | Modelling business cycles<br>000<br>00000 | Modelling business cycles Post-Keynesian models<br>000 0000<br>0000 0000 | Modelling business cycles         Post-Keynesian models         Evidence           000         0000         0000           000         0000         0000 |  |

# Appendix

Modelling business cycles 000 000 00000 Post-Keynesian models

Evidence

Summary

#### UK GDP and corporate debt, unfiltered



Data sources: BIS, FRED.